



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgunia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/539,815	03/31/2000	Larry Phillips	MATP-587US	1591
23122	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/539;815	PHILLIPS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HUY T NGUYEN	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 (</u>	October 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ This	s action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		Ī.				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8-10</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application ority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08 October 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2616

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (5,745,645) in view of Fujinami (6,718,119).

Regarding claims 1 and 5 Nakamura discloses an apparatus (Figs. 25 and 26 and a method for storing video and audio data which leave been compressed according to a standard specified by the Moving Pictures Experts Group (MPEG), the method comprising the steps of:

formatting the video and audio data into respective program elementary stream (PES) packets (column 27, lines 27 20-35, column 28, lines 17-25); recording the video and audio PES packets on a disk (column 29, lines 1-5); retrieving the video and audio PES packets from the disk (column 29, lines 40-60, Figs. 25 and 26);

storing the retrieved audio and video PES packets into respective video and audio buffers (2600 and 2800) Fig. 26); and

providing the audio and video PES packets from the respective audio and video buffers to an MPEG decoder (3081 and 3200) (Fig. 26, columns 31 and 32).

Nakamura fails to specifically teaches that the audio and video packet stored in the respective audio and video buffers represent respectively different amount of time.

Fujinami teaches an apparatus for decoding the reproduced audio data and video data from a medium, the apparatus comprising an audio buffer and a video buffer for storing the audio data and video data, wherein the audio and video data packets

Art Unit: 2616

stored in the respective audio and video buffers represent respectively different amount of time (Figs. 5, 7, column 5, lines 10-35).

It would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nakamura with Fujinami by provide a means for storing the audio packet and video packet in the audio buffer and video buffer with different respective amount of time for further ensuring seamless reproduction the data packets.

Applicant agues that Nakamura does not teach that PES video are recorded separately from PES audio. In response the examiner disagrees. It is submitted that Nakamura teaches the video and audio are formed as separate PES. Each video PES video comprising video data and header containing time information relating to video data used for decoding the corresponding video data of the packet and each audio PES comprising audio data and header containing time information relating to the audio data used for decoding the corresponding audio data of the packet and each audio packet is separate from video packet. It is clear that Nakamura teaches video packet elementary is separate from the audio packet elementary

4. Claims 2,3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Fujinami (6,718,19) as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Tamura (5,323,67).

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Nakamura fails to teaches storing audio PES packets representing a sufficient amount of audio information provide the MPEG decoder with data during an interval in which no data is being stored into the buffer due to a soft error on the disk.

Art Unit: 2616

Tamura teaches a reproducing apparatus having a control means for controlling a memory means for storing encoded audio data representing a sufficient amount of audio information provide to a decoder with data during an interval in which no data is being stored into the buffer due to a soft error on the disk (column 1, lines 15 - 34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at to modify Nakamura with Tamura by using an audio memory means as taught by Tamura as the audio buffer of Nakamura in order to preventing interruption of the audio information provided to the MPEG decoder.

Regarding claim 3, Nakamura further teaches the MPEG decoder Includes an internal clock signal and the method further includes the step of synchronizing the internal clock signal to the audio packets provided to the audio buffer (column 31, lines 22-28 and column 32, lines 13-20).

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Fujinami (6,718,119) and Tamura as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Fujita (5,930,450).

Regarding claim 7, Nakamura fails to specifically teach that the audio buffer memory has an amount of memory sufficient to hold encoded audio data representing approximately ten seconds of audio output.

Fujita teaches a reproducing apparatus having a buffer memory has a capacity of storing an amount of audio data representing approximately ten seconds of audio output (column 21, lines 15-25).

Art Unit: 2616

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art t modify Nakamura with Fujita by using a audio buffer memory as taught by Fujita as an alternative to the audio buffer of Nakamura for storing the audio data thereby increasing the storage of the amount of the audio data needed to be processed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 4 and 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

HUYNGUYEN PRIMAMY EXAMINER